## Lecture outline

- Database searches
- BLAST
- FASTA
- Statistical Significance of Sequence

Comparison Results

- Probability of matching runs
- Karin-Altschul statistics
- Extreme value distribution


## DP Alignment Complexity

- $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{mn})$ time
- O(mn ) space
$-\mathrm{O}(\max (\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}))$ if only similarity score is needed
- More complicated "divide-and-conquer" algorithm that doubles time complexity and uses $\mathrm{O}(\min (\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}))$ space [Hirschberg, JACM 1977]


## Time and space bottlenecks

- Comparing two one-megabase genomes.
- Space:

An entry: 4 bytes;
Table: $4 * 10^{6} * 10^{6}=4 \mathrm{~T}$ bytes memory.

- Time:

1000 MHz CPU: 1M entries/second;

## BLAST

- Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
- Altschul et al. 1990,1994,1997
- Heuristic method for local alignment
- Designed specifically for database searches
- Idea: good alignments contain short lengths of exact matches
$10^{12}$ entries: 1 M seconds $=10$ days.


## Steps of BLAST
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- $\quad$ Scan each database sequence for an exact match to query words. Each match is a seed for an ungapped alignment.


## Steps of BLAST

3. (Original BLAST) extend matching words to the lef and right using ungapped alignments. Extension continues as long as score does not fall below a given threshold. This is an HSP (high scoring pair).
(BLAST2) Extend the HSPs using gapped alignment.

## Steps of BLAST

4. Using a cutoff score $S$, keep only the extended matches that have a score at least S .
5. Determine statistical significance of each remaining match.

## FASTA

- Derived from logic of the dot plot
- compute best diagonals from all frames of alignment
- Word method looks for exact matches between words in query and test sequence - construct word position tables
- DNA words are usually 6 bases
- protein words are 1 or 2 amino acids
- only searches for diagonals in region of word matches $=$ faster searching


## Steps of FASTA

1. Find k -tups in the two sequences $(\mathrm{k}=1-2$ for proteins, 4-6 for DNA sequences)
2. Create a table of positions for those $k$-tups

## The offset table



## FASTA Algorithm

(a)

(b)


## FASTA

5. After finding the best initial region, FASTA performs a DP global alignment centered on the best initial region.

## FASTA Alignments

## History of sequence searching

- 1970: NW
- 1981: SW
- 1985: FASTA
- 1990: BLAST
- 1997: BLAST2


## The purpose of sequence alignment

- Homology
- Function identification

Join segments using gaps, eliminate other segments


Use dynamic programming to
(d) create an optimal alignment

- about 70\% of the genes of M. jannaschii were assigned a function using sequence similarity (1997)



## Similarity

- How much similar do the sequences have to be to infer homology?
- Two possibilities when similarity is detected:
- The similarity is by chance
- They evolved from a common ancestor - hence, have similar functions


## Measures of similarity

- Percent identity:
- 40\% similar, $70 \%$ similar
- problems with percent identity?
- Scoring matrices
- matching of some amino acids may be more significant than matching of other amino acids
- PAM matrix in 1970, BLOSUM in 1992
- problems?


## Statistical Significance

- Goal: to provide a universal measure for inferring homology
- How different is the result from a random match, or a match between unrelated requences?
- Given a set of sequences not related to the query (or a set of random sequences), what is the probability of finding a match with the same alignment score by chance?
- Different statistical measures
- p-value
- E-value
- z-score


## Statistical significance measures

- $p$-value: the probability that at least one sequence will produce the same score by chance
- E-value: expected number of sequences that will produce same or better score by chance
- z-score: measures how much standard deviations above the mean of the score distribution


## How to compute statistical significance?

- Significance of a match-run
- Erdös-Renyí
- Significance of local alignments without gaps
- Karlin-Altschul statistics
- Scoring matrices revisited
- Significance of local alignments with gaps
- Significance of global alignments

Analysis of coin tosses


- Let black circles indicate heads
- Let p be the probability of a "head"
- For a "fair" coin, p=0.5
- Probability of 5 heads in a row is $(1 / 2)^{\wedge} 5=0.031$
- The expected number of times that 5 H occurs in above 14 coin tosses is $10 * 0.031=0.31$


## Analysis of coin tosses

- The expected number of a length $l$ run of heads in $n$ tosses.

$$
E(l) \cong n p^{l}
$$

What is the expected length $R$ of the longest match in $n$ tosses?

$$
1=n p^{R} \longrightarrow R=\log _{1 / p}(n)
$$

## Analysis of coin tosses

- (Erdös-Rényi) If there are $n$ throws, then the expected length $R$ of the longest run of heads is

$$
R=\log _{1 / \mathrm{p}}(n)
$$

## Analysis of an alignment



- Probability of an individual match $\mathrm{p}=0.05$
- Expected number of matches: $10 x 8 \times 0.05=4$
- Expected number of two successive matches

$$
\cong 10 \times 8 \times 0.05 \times 0.05=0.2
$$

## Matching runs in sequence alignments

[^0] could start
$$
E(l) \cong m n p^{l}
$$

- The expected length of the longest match can be approximated as

$$
R=\log _{1 / p}(m n)
$$

where $m$ and $n$ are the lengths of the two sequences.

- Example: Suppose $\mathrm{n}=20$ for a "fair" coin $R=\log _{2}(20)=4.32$
- In other words: in 20 coin tosses we expect a run of heads of length 4.32 , once.

Trick is how to model DNA (or amino acid) sequence alignments as coin tosses.

## Example

## Matching runs in sequence alignments

- Consider two sequences $a_{1 . . m}$ and $b_{1 . . n}$
- If the probability of occurrence for every If the probability of occurrence for every
symbol is p , then a match of a residue $a_{i}$ with $b_{j}$ is p , and a match of length $l$ from $a_{i}, b_{j}$ to $\mathrm{a}_{i+l-1}, b_{j+l-1}$ is $p^{l}$.
- The head-run problem of coin tosses corresponds to the longest run of matches along the diagonals $\square$
$\qquad$


## Matching runs in sequence alignments

- So suppose $m=n=10$ and we're looking at DNA sequences

$$
R=\log _{4}(100)=3.32
$$

- This analysis makes assumptions about the base composition (uniform) and no gaps, but it's a good estimate.


## Statistics for matching runs

- Statistics of matching runs:

$$
E(l) \cong m n p^{l}
$$

- Length versus score?
- Consider all mismatches receive a negative score of -8 and $a_{i} b_{j}$ match receives a positive score of $s_{i, j}$.
- What is the expected number of matching runs with a score $x$ or higher?

$$
E(S>=x) \propto m n p^{x}
$$

- Using this theory of matching runs, Karlin and Altschul developed a theory for statistics of local alignments without gaps (extended this theory to allow for mismatches).


## Statistics of local alignments without gaps

- A scoring matrix which satisfy the following constraint:
- The expected score of a single match obtained by a scoring matrix should be negative.

$$
E\left(s_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{i, j} p_{i} p_{j} s_{i, j}<0
$$

- Otherwise?
- Arbitrarily long random sequences will get higher scores just because they are long, not because there's a significant match.
- If this requirement is met then the expected number of alignments with score $x$ or higher is given by:

$$
E(S \geq x)=K m n e^{-\lambda x}
$$

## Statistics of local alignments without gaps

$$
E(S \geq x)=K m n e^{-\lambda x}
$$

$-K<1$ is a proportionality constant that corrects the $m n$ "space factor" for the fact that there are not really $m n$ independent places that could have produced score $S=x$.

- K has little effect on the statistical significance of a similarity score
- ? is closely related to the scoring matrix used and it takes into account that the scoring matrices do not contain actual probabilities of co-occurence, but instead a scaled version of those values. To understand how ? is computed, we have to look at the construction of scoring matrices.


## Scoring Matrices

- In 1970s there were few protein sequences available. Dayhoff used a limited set of families of protein sequences multiply aligned to infer mutation likelihoods.
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## Scoring Matrices
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- Dayhoff represented the similarity of amino acids as a log odds ratio:

$$
s_{i j}=\log \left(q_{i j} / p_{i} p_{j}\right)
$$

where $q_{i j}$ is the observed frequency of co-occurrence, and $p_{i}, p_{j}$ are the individual frequencies.

## Example

- If M occurs in the sequences with 0.01 frequency and $L$ occurs with 0.1 frequency. By random pairing, you expect 0.001 amino acid pairs to be M-L. If the observed frequency of M-L is actually 0.003 , score of matching M-L will be
$-\log _{2}(3)=1.585$ bits or $\log _{e}(3)=\ln (3)=1.1$ nats
- Since, scoring matrices are usually provided as integer matrices, these values are scaled by a constant factor. ? is approximately the inverse of the original scaling factor.


## How to compute?

- Recall that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda s_{i j}=\log \left(q_{i j} / p_{i} p_{j}\right) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow q_{i j}=p_{i} p_{j} e^{\lambda s_{i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and: $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} q_{i j}=1$ Sum of observed frequencies is 1. $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{i} p_{j} e^{\lambda_{s_{j}}}=1 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Given the frequencies of } \\ & \text { individual amino acids and } \\ & \text { the scores in the matrix, }\end{aligned}$ can be estimated.

## Extreme value distribution

- Consider an experiment that obtains the maximum value of locally aligning a random string with query string (without gaps). Repeat with another random string and so on. Plot the distribution of these maximum values.
- The resulting distribution is an extreme value distribution, called a Gumbel distribution.
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## BLAST statistics

- Pre-computed ? and K values for different scoring matrices and gap penalties are used for faster computation.
- Raw score is converted to bit score:

$$
S_{b i t}=\frac{\lambda S-\ln K}{\ln 2}
$$

- E-value is computed using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=s s s \cdot 2^{-S_{b i t}} \\
& s s s=(m-L)(n-N \cdot L)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $m$ is query size, $n$ is database size and $L$ is the typical length of maximal scoring alignment.


## Local alignments with gaps

- The EVD distribution is not always observed. Theory of local alignments with gaps is not well studied as in without gaps.
Mostly empirical results. For example, BLAST allows only a certain range of gap penalties.



## FASTA Statistics

- FASTA tries to estimate the probability distribution of alignments for every query.
- For any query sequence, a large collection of scores is gathered during the search of the database.
- They estimate the parameters of the EVD distribution based on the histogram of scores.
- Advantages:
- reliable statistics for different parameters
- different databases, different gap penalties, different scoring matrices, queries with different amino acid compositions.


## Statistical significance another example

- Suppose, we have a huge graph with weighted edges and we want to find strongly connected clusters of nodes.
- Suppose, an algorithm for this task is given.
- The algorithms gives you the best hundred clusters in this graph.
- How do you define best?
- Cluster size?
- Total weight of edges?


## Statistical significance

- How different is a found cluster of size N from a random cluster of the same size?
- This measure will enable comparison of clusters of different sizes.


## Statistical significance of a cluster

- Use maximum spanning tree weight of a cluster as a quantitative representation of that cluster.
- And see what values random clusters get. (sample many random clusters)



## Statistical significance of a cluster




Statistical significance of a cluster


After we fit an exponential distribution, we compute the probability that another random cluster gets a higher score than the score of fou nd cluster.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x \geq w)=e^{-\lambda_{k} w} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Examples

- $?_{5}=1.7$ for clusters of size 5 and $?_{20}=0.36$ for clusters of size 20.
- Suppose you have found a cluster of size 5 with weights of its edges sum up to 15 and you have found a cluster of size 20 with weight 45 which one would you prefer?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x \geq 15)=e^{-\lambda_{5} 15}=8.42 \times 10^{-12} \\
& P(x \geq 45)=e^{-\lambda_{20} 45}=9.21 \times 10^{-8}
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    - There are $m-l+1 \times n-l+1$ places where the match

