

Multiple Alignment versus Pairwise Alignment

- Up until now we have only tried to align two sequences.
- What about more than two? And what for?
- A faint similarity between two sequences becomes significant
 if present in many
- Multiple alignments can reveal subtle similarities that pairwise alignments do not reveal


## Outline

- Problem definition
- Can we use Dynamic Programming to solve MSA?
- Progressive Alignment
- ClustalW
- Scoring Multiple Alignments
- Entropy
- Sum of Pairs (SP) Score

Multiple Alignment versus Pairwise Alignment

- Up until now we have only tried to align two sequences.
- What about more than two? And what for?



## Multiple alignment

- One of the most essential tools in molecular biology
- Finding highly conserved subregions or embedded patterns of a set of biological sequences
- Conserved regions usually are key functional regions, prime targets for drug developments
- Estimation of evolutionary distance between sequences
- Prediction of protein secondary/tertiary structure
- Practically useful methods only since 1987 (D. Sankoff)
- Before 1987 they were constructed by hand
- Dynamic programming is expensive


## Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA)

- What is multiple sequence alignment?
- Given $k$ sequences:

VTISCTGSSSNIGAGNHVKWYQQLPG VTISCTGTSSNIGSITVNWYQQLPG LRLSCSSSGFIFSSYAMYWVRQAPG LSLTCTVSGTSFDDYYSTWVRQPPG PEVTCVVVDVSHEDPQVKFNWYVDG ATLVCLISDFYPGAVTVAWKADS AALGCLVKDYFPEPVTVSWNSG vSLTCLVkGFYPSDIAVEWESNG


## Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA)

- An MSA of these sequences:

VTISCTGSSSNIGAG-NHVKWYQQLPG VTISCTGTSSNIGS--ITVNWYQQLPG LRLSCSSSGFIFSS--YAMYWVRQAPG LSLTCTVSGTSFDD--YYSTWVRQPPG PEVTCVVVDVSHEDPQVKFNWYVDG--ATLVCLISDFYPGA--VTVAWKADS--AALGCLVKDYFPEP--VTVSWNSG---VSLTCLVKGFYPSD--IAVEWESNG--

## Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA)

- An MSA of these sequences:

VTISCTGSSSNIGAG-NHVKWYQQLPG VTISCTGTSSNIGS--ITVNWYQQIPG LRLSCSSSGFIFSS--YAMYWVROAPG LSLTCTVSGTSFDD--YYSTWVRQPPG PEVTCVVVDVSHEDPQVKFNWYVDG--ATLVCLISDFYPGA--VTVAWKADS--AALGCLVKDYFPEP--VTVSWNSG---VSLTCLVKGFYPSD--IAVEWESNG--

Conserved regions
$\qquad$

## Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA)

- An MSA of these sequences:

```
VTISSTGSSSNIGAG-NHVKMYQQIPG
VTHSOTGTSSNIGS--ITVNNYQQIPG
TRHSOSSSGFIFSS--YAMYMVRQAPG
HSTTCTVSGTSFDD--YYSTMVROPPG
PEVTOVVVDVSHEDPQVKFNMYVDG--
ATMV LISDFYPGA--VTVA*KADS--
AATGGLVKDYFPEP--VTVSNNSG---
VSTT:LVKGFYPSD--IAVENESNG--
```

Conserved residues, regions, patterns

## MSA Warnings

- MSA algorithms work under the assumption that they are aligning related sequences
- They will align ANYTHING they are given, even if unrelated
- If it just "looks wrong" it probably is


## Generalizing the Notion of Pairwise Alignment

- Alignment of 2 sequences is represented as a 2-row matrix
- In a similar way, we represent alignment of 3 sequences as a 3-row matrix

AT _ G C G _
$A C_{-} \mathrm{T}_{-} A$
ATCAC_A

- Score: more conserved columns, better alignment

Alignments $=$ Paths in $k$ dimensional grids

- Align 3 sequences: ATGC, AATC,ATGC



## Alignment Paths



- Resulting path in ( $x, y, z$ ) space:
$(0,0,0) \rightarrow(1,1,0) \rightarrow(1,2,1) \rightarrow(2,3,2) \rightarrow(3,3,3) \rightarrow(4,4,4)$



## Multiple Alignment: Running Time

- For 3 sequences of length $\boldsymbol{n}$, the run time is $7 n^{3}$; $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$
- For $\boldsymbol{k}$ sequences, build a $\boldsymbol{k}$-dimensional matrix, with run time $\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left(n^{k}\right) ; \mathrm{O}\left(2^{k} n^{k}\right)$
- Conclusion: dynamic programming approach for alignment between two sequences is easily extended to $\boldsymbol{k}$ sequences but it is impractical due to exponential running time

Multiple Alignment Induces Pairwise Alignments

Every multiple alignment induces pairwise alignments

```
x: AC-GCGG-C
y: AC-GC-GAG
z: GCCGC-GAG
```

Induces:

```
x: ACGCGG-C; x: AC-GCGG-C; y: AC-GCGAG
y: ACGC-GAC; z: GCCGC-GAG; z: GCCGCGAG
```

Reverse Problem: Constructing Multiple Alignment from Pairwise Alignments

Given 3 arbitrary pairwise alignments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}: \text { ACGCTGG-C; } \mathbf{x}: \text { AC-GCTGG-C; } \mathbf{y}: \text { AC-GC-GAG } \\
& \mathbf{y}: \text { ACGC--GAC; } \mathbf{z}: \text { GCCGCA-GAG; } \mathbf{z}: \text { GCCGCAGAG }
\end{aligned}
$$

## can we construct a multiple alignment that induces them?

NOT ALWAYS
Pairwise alignments may be inconsistent

## Reverse Problem: Constructing Multiple Alignment from Pairwise Alignments

Given 3 arbitrary pairwise alignments:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{x}: \text { ACGCTGG-C; } & \mathbf{x}: \text { AC-GCTGG-C; } & \mathrm{y}: \text { AC-GC-GAG } \\
\mathrm{y}: \text { ACGC--GAC; } & \mathrm{z}: \text { GCCGCA-GAG; } & \mathrm{z}: \text { GCCGCAGAG }
\end{array}
$$

can we construct a multiple alignment that induces them?

## Inferring Multiple Alignment from Pairwise Alignments

- From an optimal multiple alignment, we can infer pairwise alignments between all pairs of sequences, but they are not necessarily optimal
- It is difficult to infer a "good" multiple alignment from optimal pairwise alignments between all sequences

Combining Optimal Pairwise Alignments into Multiple Alignment

Can combine pairwise alignments into multiple alignment


Can not combine pairwise alignments into multiple alignment


Consensus String of a Multiple Alignment



## Aligning alignments

- Given two alignments, can we align them?
x GGGCACTGCAT
y GGTtACGTC--
z GGGAACTGCAG
w GGACGTACC--
Alignment 1
Alignment 2
w GGACGTACC--
-


## Aligning alignments

- Given two alignments, can we align them?
- Hint: use alignment of corresponding profiles

```
x GGGCACTGCAT
    y GGTTACGTC--
    z GGGAACTGCAG
    w GGACGTACC--
    v GGACCT-----
x GGGCACMCA
```

    Combined Alignment
    Earlier, we were aligning a sequence against a sequence
Can we align a sequence against a profile?
Can we align a profile against a profile?

## Multiple Alignment: Greedy Approach

- Choose most similar pair of strings and combine into a profile , thereby reducing alignment of $k$ sequences to an alignment of of $k-1$ sequences/profiles. Repeat
- This is a heuristic greedy method

$$
\left.k\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{u}_{1}=\text { ACGTACGTACGT } \ldots \\
\mathrm{u}_{2}=\text { TTAATTAATTAA } \ldots
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{u}_{1}=\operatorname{ACg} / \text { tTACg/TACg/cT.. } \\
\mathrm{u}_{2}=\text { TTAATTAATTAA } \ldots \\
\mathrm{u}_{3}=\text { ACTACTACTACT } \ldots . \\
\ldots \\
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}=\text { CCGGCCGGCCGG }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\ldots \\
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}=\text { CCGGCCGGCCGG } . .
\end{array}\right\} k-l
$$

## Greedy Approach: Example

- Consider these 4 sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s 1 & \text { GATTCA } \\
\text { s2 } & \text { GTCTGA } \\
\text { s3 } & \text { GATATT } \\
\text { s4 } & \text { GTCAGC }
\end{array}
$$

## Greedy Approach: Example (contd)

- There are $\binom{4}{2}=6$ possible alignments

```
s2 GTCTGA s1 GATTCA--
s4 GTCAGC (score = 2) s4 G-T-CAGC(score = 0)
s1 GAT-TCA s2 G-TCTGA
s2 G-TCTGA (score = 1) s3 GATAT-T (score = -1)
s1 GAT-TCA s3 GAT-ATT
s3 GATAT-T (score = 1) s4 G-TCAGC (score = -1)
```


## Greedy Approach: Example (contd)

$s_{2}$ and $s_{4}$ are closest; combine:
$\begin{array}{ll}s 2 & \text { GTCTGA } \\ \text { s4 } & \text { GTCAGC }\end{array} \underset{\substack{(\text { profilie) }}}{s_{2,4} G T C t / a G a / c A}$
new set of 3 sequences:
$s_{1} \quad$ GATTCA
$s_{3}$ GATATT
$s_{2,4} \quad \mathrm{GTC}+/ \mathrm{aGa} / \mathrm{c}$

## Progressive Alignment

- Progressive alignment is a variation of greedy algorithm with a somewhat more intelligent strategy for choosing the order of alignments.
- Progressive alignment works well for close sequences, but deteriorates for distant sequences
- Gaps in consensus string are permanent
- Use profiles to compare sequences


## Star alignment

- Heuristic method for multiple sequence alignments
- Select a sequence $c$ as the center of the star
- For each sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that index $i \neq$ $c$, perform a Needleman-Wunsch global alignment
- Aggregate alignments with the principle "once a gap, always a gap."


## Choosing a center

- Try them all and pick the one which is most similar to all of the sequences
- Let $S\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ be the optimal score between sequences $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $x_{\mathrm{j}}$.
- Calculate all $\mathrm{O}\left(k^{2}\right)$ alignments, and choose as $x_{c}$ the sequence $x_{i}$ that maximizes the following

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}, x_{\mathrm{j}}\right)
$$

Star alignment example


## Analysis

- Assuming all sequences have length $n$
- $\mathrm{O}\left(k^{2} n^{2}\right)$ to calculate center
- Step $i$ of iterative pairwise alignment takes O((i-n)•n) time
- two strings of length $n$ and $i \cdot n$
- $\mathrm{O}\left(k^{2} n^{2}\right)$ overall cost


## ClustalW

- Most popular multiple alignment tool today
- 'W' stands for 'weighted' (different parts of alignment are weighted differently).
- Three-step process
1.) Construct pairwise alignments
2.) Build Guide Tree (by Neighbor Joining method)
3.) Progressive Alignment guided by the tree
- The sequences are aligned progressively according to the branching order in the guide tree


## Step 1: Pairwise Alignment

- Aligns each sequence again each other giving a similarity matrix
- Similarity = exact matches / sequence length (percent identity)

|  | $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | .17 | - |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | .17 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | .87 | .28 | - |  | (.17 means $17 \%$ identical) |
| $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | .59 | .33 | .62 | - |  |

Step 2: Guide Tree (cont'd)


Calculate:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1,3} & =\text { alignment }\left(v_{1}, v_{3}\right) \\
v_{1,3,4} & =\text { alignment }\left(\left(v_{1,3}\right), v_{4}\right) \\
v_{1,2,3,4} & =\operatorname{alignment}\left(\left(v_{1,3,4}\right), v_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Step 3: Progressive Alignment

- Start by aligning the two most similar sequences
- Following the guide tree, add in the next sequences, aligning to the existing alignment
- Insert gaps as necessary

FOS_RAT
FOS ${ }^{-}$MOUSE FOSB ${ }^{-}$MOUS FOSB_HUMAN

PEEMSVTS-LDLTGGLPEATTPESEEAFTLPLLNDPEPK-PSLEPVKNISNMELKAEPFD EEMSVIS-LDLGEASEEAFTLPLLLNDPEEK-PSLEPVKNISNMELKAEPFD SEELAAAATALDLGG----APSPAAAEEAFALPLMTEAPPAVPRKEPSG--SGLELKAEPFD GGPGPLAEVRDLPG-----STSAKEDGFGWLLPPPPPPP---------------------LPFD gGPGPLAEVRDLPG-----SAPAKEDGESWLLPPPPPPP


Dots and stars show how well-conserved a column is.

## ClustalW: another example

$\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ALSK
$\mathbf{S}_{2}$ TNSD
$S_{3}$ NASK
$\mathbf{S}_{4} \quad$ NTSD


Other progressive approaches

- PILEUP
- Similar to CLUSTALW
- Uses UPGMA to produce tree


## Problems with progressive alignments

- Depend on pairwise alignments
- If sequences are very distantly related, much higher likelihood of errors
- Care must be made in choosing scoring matrices and penalties


## Iterative refinement in progressive alignment

Another problem of progressive alignment:

- Initial alignments are "frozen" even when new evidence comes


## Example:

| $\mathbf{x}:$ | GAAGTT |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{y}:$ | GAC-TT |
| $\mathbf{z}:$ | GAACTG Frozen! |
| $\mathbf{w}:$ | $\mathbf{G T A C T G}>$ |

## Scoring multiple alignments

- Ideally, a scoring scheme should
- Penalize variations in conserved positions higher
- Relate sequences by a phylogenetic tree
- Tree alignment
- Usually assume
- Independence of columns
- Quality computation
- Entropy-based scoring
- Compute the Shannon entropy of each column
- Sum-of-pairs (SP) score



## Evaluating multiple alignments

- Balibase benchmark (Thompson, 1999)
- De-facto standard for assessing the quality of a multiple alignment tool
- Manually refined multiple sequence alignments
- Quality measured by how good it matches the core blocks
- Another benchmark: SABmark benchmark
- Based on protein structural families


## Multiple Alignments: Scoring

- Number of matches (multiple longest common subsequence score)
- Entropy score
- Sum of pairs (SP-Score)


## Multiple LCS Score

- A column is a "match" if all the letters in the column are the same

AAA
AAA
AAT
ATC

- Only good for very similar sequences


## Entropy: Example

entropy $\left(\begin{array}{l}A \\ A \\ A \\ A\end{array}\right)=0 \quad$ Best case

Worst case entropy $\left(\begin{array}{l}A \\ T \\ G \\ C\end{array}\right)=-\sum \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{4}=-4\left(\frac{1}{4} *-2\right)=2$

## Entropy of an Alignment: Example

## column entropy:

$-\left(p_{A} \log p_{A}+p_{C} \log p_{C}+p_{C} \log p_{C}+p_{T} \log p_{T}\right)$
-Column $\begin{aligned} 1 & =-[1 * \log (1)+0 * \log 0+0 * \log 0+0 * \log 0] \\ & =0\end{aligned}$
-Column $2=-[(1 / 4) * \log (1 / 4)+(3 / 4) * \log (3 / 4)+0 * \log 0+0 * \log 0]$ $=-[(1 / 4) *(-2)+(3 / 4) *(-.415)]=+0.811$
-Column $3=-[(1 / 4) * \log (1 / 4)+(1 / 4) * \log (1 / 4)+(1 / 4) * \log (1 / 4)+(1 / 4) * \log (1 / 4)]$ $=4^{*}-[(1 / 4) *(-2)]=+2.0$
-Alignment Entropy $=0+0.811+2.0=+2.811$

## Entropy

- Define frequencies for the occurrence of each letter in each column of multiple alignment
- $p_{A}=1, p_{T}=p_{G}=p_{C}=0\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ column)
- $p_{A}=0.75, p_{T}=0.25, p_{G}=p_{C}=0$ (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ column)
- $p_{A}=0.50, p_{T}=0.25, p_{C}=0.25 p_{G}=0(3$ rd column $)$
- Compute entropy of each column

$$
-\sum_{X=A, T, G, C} p_{X} \log p_{X} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { AAA } \\
& \text { AAT } \\
& \text { ATC }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multiple Alignment: Entropy Score

Entropy for a multiple alignment is the sum of entropies of its columns:
$\Sigma_{\text {over all columns }}-\Sigma_{X=A, T, G, C} p_{X} \log p_{X}$

## Multiple Alignment Induces Pairwise Alignments

Every multiple alignment induces pairwise alignments
x: AC-GCGG-C
y: AC-GC-GAG
z: GCCGC-GAG
Induces:

```
x: ACGCGG-C; x: AC-GCGG-C; y: AC-GCGAG
    y: ACGC-GAC; z: GCCGC-GAG; z: GCCGCGAG
```


## Sum of Pairs (SP) Scoring

- SP scoring is the standard method for scoring multiple sequence alignments.
- Columns are scored by a 'sum of pairs' function using a substitution matrix (PAM or BLOSUM)
- Assumes statistical independence for the columns, does not use a phylogenetic tree.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Computing SP-Score

Aligning 4 sequences: 6 pairwise alignments

Given $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ :
$s\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{4}\right)=\Sigma s^{*}\left(a_{\mathrm{i}}, a_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=s^{*}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)+s^{*}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)$

$$
+s^{*}\left(a_{1}, a_{4}\right)+s^{*}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)
$$

$$
+s^{*}\left(a_{2}, a_{4}\right)+s^{*}\left(a_{3}, a_{4}\right)
$$

## Example

- Compute Sum of Pairs Score of the following multiple alignment with match $=3$, mismatch $=-1, S(X,-)=-1, S(-,-)=0$

X : GTACG
Y: T G C C G
Z: C G G C C
W: C G GAC
-2 6-2 62
Sum of pairs $=-2+6-2+6+2=10$

## Sum of Pairs Score(SP-Score)

- Consider pairwise alignment of sequences

$$
a_{i} \text { and } a_{j}
$$

imposed by a multiple alignment of $k$ sequences

- Denote the score of this suboptimal (not necessarily optimal) pairwise alignment as $s^{*}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)$
- Sum up the pairwise scores for a multiple alignment:

$$
s\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=\Sigma_{i, j} s^{*}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right)
$$

## SP-Score: Example

$a_{1}$ ATG-C-AAT
A-G-CATAT
$a_{k}$ ATCCCATTT
$S\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{k}\right)=\sum_{i, j} S^{*}\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right) \longleftarrow\binom{n}{2}$ Pairs of Sequences

May also calculate the scores column by column:


## Multiple alignment tools

- Clustal W (Thompson, 1994)
- Most popular
- PRRP (Gotoh, 1993)
- HMMT (Eddy, 1995)
- DIALIGN (Morgenstern, 1998)
- T-Coffee (Notredame, 2000)
- MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004)
- Align-m (Walle, 2004)
- PROBCONS (Do, 2004)



## Useful links

http://cnx.org/content/m11036/latest/
http://www.biokemi.uu.se/Utbildning/Exercises/ClustalX/index.shtm
http://bioinformatics.weizmann.ac.il/~pietro/Making_and_using_protein_MA/
http://homepage.usask.ca/~ctl271/857/paper1_overview.shtml
http://journal-ci.csse.monash.edu.au/ci/vol04/mulali/mulali.html
from: C. Notredame, "Recent progresses in multiple alignment: a survey", (2002) 3(1)

